

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				<del></del>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,896	07/07/2003	Yvon Charbonneau	15782-2US CMB/AA/mb	4329	
20988 7.	590 12/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1600 MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			HESS, DA	HESS, DANIEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876	-	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,896	CHARBONNEAU, YVON	N
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Daniel A Hess	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty ited will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	7 July 2003.		
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		÷	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		L	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		ν	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been re	plication No	9
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	" <b>.</b>	(DTO 110)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	IJ Cuiei	<i>:</i>	

Art Unit: 2876

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flint et al.

(US 4,174,891) in view of "Width-Modulated Linear Symbologies" (The Bar Code Book,

Palmer, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.)

Re claims 1 and 6:

Flint et al. teaches at column 2, lines 15-30:

The reader/printer has an automatic call up feature so that any given photographic area may be selected and projected responsive to the push of a button or the operation of any other suitable switch. An electronic control circuit, such as a microprocessor automatically controls the movement of a film transport mechanism to position a selected photographic area in a viewing area.

Also figure 2, whose description below is excerpted (column 2, lines 33-38):

Art Unit: 2876

FIG. 2 is a schematic layout of a web or strip of roll film, having a plurality of photographic areas, each in a microfiche format comprising an orthogonal array of images, with a special bar code printed along an edge of the film and located near the photographic area which it identifies

Column 5, lines 4-11:

A series of bar code addresses (such as 89, 91, 92) is printed along one margin of the film and precisely located at the same relative positions near each photographic area. Therefore, if the bar code 92, for example, is precisely positioned by the film transport mechanism so that a sensor is at one edge, such as 93, of the code 92, the photographic area 84 is precisely located in the viewing area or in the optical path of the reader/printer.

Column 7, lines 30-48:

The film is transported responsive to an operation of switches on control panel 52, to automatically select and display a desired photographic area. More particularly, FIGS. 5, 6 help explain how a roll of film is transported, in order to bring a selected photographic area into the viewing area in the reader/printer of FIG. 1 responsive to a reading of the bar codes of FIGS. 2-4. FIG. 5 graphically shows film 60 traveling a forward direction and FIG. 6 shows the same film 60 traveling in a reverse direction.

The memory of the last read bar code is stored, so that the reader/printer always starts in the correct direction. But, if that memory has been lost (for example, there might be a power interruption), the reader/printer starts in one preferred direction. One bar code is read, and the electronic control circuit decides whether the film is or is not traveling in the correct direction. If it is, the film continues to so travel. If not, the film transport reverses direction.

And in column 10, lines 11-12:

When the desired bar code is detected, the motor 202 is stopped.

Flint et al. fails to teach or fairly suggest the presence and use of a "start reading" code. As Palmer shows (see figure 4-10 of <u>The Bar Code Book</u>, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.) the UPC standard bar coding system includes "two six-digit halves" in other words a data portion, "surrounded by left, center and right guard patterns." These guard patterns serve as reference markers for the overall barcode and define where it begins and ends.

Art Unit: 2876

In view of Palmer's teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the old and well-known starting marker as taught by Palmer in the bar code of Flint et al. because this can help to ensure that the system is properly calibrated as it scans the actual data portion.

Re claim 2: See figure 2, ref. 91 of Flint et al.

Re claim 3: In UPC, as described by Palmer, the end markers are a pair of narrow bars; however a single wider bar could also serve as a marker to delineate where the bar code begins. This involves an element of design choice. Also, as for the width, the "guard bars" of UPC are shown to be taller, and are thus distinguished from the surrounding bars. The fact that these bars are distinguished from the surrounding bars is the critical point, whether taller or wider.

Re claim 4: One could consider the central guard bar in UPC to be a reference positioning code because it serves as a non data-bearing "landmark" within a series of bars.

One would have been motivated to include such a reference positioning code as taught by Palmer in order to reduce read errors from improper alignment.

Re claim 5: As column 5, lines 4-6 recite, "A series of bar code addresses (such as 89, 91, 92) is printed along one margin of the film and precisely located at the same relative positions near each photographic area." Thus Flint et al. teaches precise locating based on bar code position.

As for limitations on which particular bar of a barcode establishes the position, such as the fourth bar, this is a matter of design choice; for example, if it were the first bar, the fifth bar, or a set of bars, the effect would be the same. Also, as for the width, the "guard bars" of UPC

Art Unit: 2876

are shown to be taller, and are thus distinguished from the surrounding bars. The fact that these bars are distinguished from the surrounding bars is the critical point, whether taller or wider.

Re claim 7: Page 7 of the specification appears to be the only place where BCD (binary coded decimal) is discussed. In each of the two references to BCD in that part of the specification, the applicant uses the term, "BCD coding scheme or one of the like"; nowhere in the instant specification is there any indication that BCD (as opposed to another bar encoding scheme) is functionally important for making the code work. Thus, the use of BCD encoding is considered a design choice.

Re claims 8 and 9: See discussion re claim 4 above, and also of UPC, as per Palmer.

Re claim 10 and 11: See discussion re claim 5 above.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Allman et al. (US 6,572,011), Pavlidis et al. (US 5,796,090), Hirasawa et al. (US 4,875,768), Wheeler et al. (US 6,5556,273), Staeheli et al. (US 6,556,276), Guez (US 4,854,696) and Kimura et al. (US 6,105,870) and Konishi et al. (US 5,237,156) all show various systems where identifying bar codes are located on moveable media such as film.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

'nн

DANIEL STCYR PRIMARY EXAMMER